Efficient nanorod-based amorphous silicon solar cells with advanced light trapping
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We present a simple, low-cost, and scalable approach for the fabrication of efficient nanorod-based solar cells. Templates with arrays of self-assembled ZnO nanorods with tunable morphology are synthesized by chemical bath deposition using a low process temperature at 80 °C. The nanorod templates are conformally coated with hydrogenated amorphous silicon light absorber layers of 100 nm and 200 nm thickness. An initial efficiency of up to 9.0% is achieved for the optimized design. External quantum efficiency measurements on the nanorod cells show a substantial photocurrent enhancement both in the red and the blue parts of the solar spectrum. Key insights in the light trapping mechanisms in these arrays are obtained via a combination of three-dimensional finite-difference time-domain simulations, optical absorption, and external quantum efficiency measurements. Front surface patterns enhance the light incoupling in the blue, while rear side patterns lead to enhanced light trapping in the red. The red response in the nanorod cells is limited by absorption in the patterned Ag back contact. With these findings, we develop and experimentally realize a further advanced design with patterned front and back sides while keeping the Ag reflector flat, showing significantly enhanced scattering from the back reflector with reduced parasitic absorption in the Ag and thus higher photocurrent generation. Many of the findings in this work can serve to provide insights for further optimization of nanostructures for thin-film solar cells in a broad range of materials. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4935539]

I. INTRODUCTION

Thin-film silicon is an attractive candidate in the photovoltaic (PV) market because of versatile deposition procedures, low manufacturing cost, and potential applications in flexible form. Among all the possible material structures of silicon, hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) is a very interesting material for single junction solar cells, as a top cell material for multiple junction solar cells, such as the well-known “micromorph” concept,1,2 and for a-Si:H/c-Si heterojunction cells with commercially viable efficiencies of >20%.3,4 However, a-Si:H in thin-film solar cells suffers from a high defect density which leads to a high recombination rate. Bulk recombination is mitigated by using thinner devices, which has also added advantages of increasing fabrication throughput and reducing light induced degradation. On the other hand, cells have to be thick enough in order to efficiently absorb the near-bandgap part of the solar spectrum. Therefore, light-trapping schemes that increase optical absorption are crucial. Light scattering in thin-film solar cells is traditionally achieved by the usage of a textured transparent conductive oxide (TCO) layer, such as commercially available SnO2:F with a randomly textured surface,5 or textured doped ZnO fabricated either by sputtering followed by a post-deposition hydrochloric acid etching6–8 or by low pressure chemical vapor deposition.9 The textured TCO layer scatters incident light into off-normal angles to increase the light propagation path in the absorber layer. Recently, radial junction solar cells based on elongated nanostructures, such as nanowires,10–12 nanorods (NRs),13–15 nanopillars,16–19 nanodomes,20 and nanopyramid,21 have attracted strong attention.

At the present stage, there are several challenges to the application of elongated nanostructures in solar cells.22 One main challenge is the fabrication of large-area nanostructure arrays with controllable morphology in a cost-effective and high-throughput way. Methods, such as reactive ion etching (RIE) and nanoimprint lithography that are used for the fabrication of well-defined patterns, are difficult to scale up for large-area commercialization due to sample size limitations and relatively high costs. Another main challenge is that the efficiency and the yield of these innovative solar cells based on elongated nanostructures so far are significantly lower than that of their conventional counterparts. To reach high efficiency, the very rough nanostructures must be conformally coated with device-quality absorber material, which requires smooth features. In contrast, a substrate with steep features is favorable for light scattering. So far, it has been difficult to reconcile these opposing requirements.

In our previous work, we reported the application of ZnO NRs prepared by a lithography-free, low-cost, and scalable approach to fabricate ultrathin a-Si:H nanostructured
three-dimensional (nano-3D) solar cells.\textsuperscript{15,23} The nano-3D cells demonstrated a substantially enhanced photocurrent compared to flat devices as well as to randomly textured devices with similar or even larger absorber layer thickness because of efficient light trapping. However, the main challenge in this 3D concept is to achieve high open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$), fill factor (FF), and yield. We found that inhomogeneous coating of the applied layers on the nanorods, especially on the side walls, leads to the generation of voids or porous regions which are detrimental to the electrical properties. Incomplete coating of the NRs leads to shunting of the front and back contacts, which is detrimental to the FF. To address these challenges, in the present work, we demonstrate an advanced solar cell geometry using the ZnO nanorod scaffold with moderate aspect ratio grown on flat ZnO/Ag back contact. The new system reaches a good balance between the optical and electrical performance, maintaining the advantage of advanced light trapping, indicated by the short-circuit current density ($J_{sc}$), while demonstrating similar $V_{oc}$ and FF as cells deposited on planar substrates. We measure the absorption, reflection, and external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of the nanostructured cells and compare these to three-dimensional finite-difference time-domain (3D FDTD) simulations that are used to study the light trapping mechanisms in more detail. The inexpensive and scalable synthesis of nanorods together with the key findings on the light trapping mechanisms for the nanostructured solar cells present in this work could be applied to many other types of thin-film solar cells.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. ZnO nanorod synthesis

Prior to the growth of ZnO NRs, a flat ZnO thin film seed layer was deposited onto glass (Corning Eagle XG) at room temperature via radio frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering from a ceramic ZnO target. Zinc acetate dehydrate (Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·2H$_2$O, Sigma-Aldrich) with an equal molar ratio of hexamethylenetetramine (HMT, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in de-ionized water to obtain a precursor solution, followed by magnetron stirring for \(\sim 15\) min at room temperature. Synthesis of ZnO nanorods on the pre-coated layer was carried out at \(80^\circ\)C, holding the seed layer side facing down in the precursor solution. The as-grown ZnO nanorods were characterized with scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

B. Solar cell fabrication and characterization

A \(\sim 200\) nm thick silver layer was first deposited over the NR arrays via thermal evaporation, followed by a \(\sim 80\) nm thick ZnO:Al (AZO) layer made by RF magnetron sputtering from a ceramic ZnO target. Zinc acetate dehydrate ($\text{Zn(CH}_3\text{COO)}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Sigma-Aldrich) with an equal molar ratio of hexamethylenetetramine (HMT, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in de-ionized water to obtain a precursor solution, followed by magnetron stirring for \(\sim 15\) min at room temperature. Synthesis of ZnO nanorods on the pre-coated glass was carried out at \(80^\circ\)C, holding the seed layer side facing down in the precursor solution. The as-grown ZnO nanorods were characterized with scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

C. Finite-difference time-domain simulations

Three-dimensional FDTD simulations, performed using Lumerical FDTD software, were used to model the absorption in the solar cells. The full device stack, consisting of 200 nm Ag, 80 nm ZnO, 100 or 200 nm intrinsic a-Si:H, and 80 nm ITO in thickness, was used in the modeling. The thin n- and p-layers were neglected in the simulations. The roughness of the back of the device was implemented in the simulation by directly importing the surface topography of the Ag coated NR substrate measured by atomic force microscopy (AFM). The roughness profile at the AZO/a-Si:H interface was assumed to be the same as that at the Ag/AZO interface, as confirmed by SEM (Figure 3(c)). AFM scans show that the topography at the ITO/air interface was significantly smoother compared to that of the Ag-coated NR substrates due to a natural morphology evolution during the growth of the relatively thick a-Si:H layer, similar to that reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{24,25} In the simulations, the surface profile (ITO/air interface) was generated by taking the AFM topography of the Ag coated substrate as a starting point and smoothening it by using a combination of image dilation and Gaussian blur in Matlab. Table I shows the root mean square (RMS) roughness of the AFM measured topography for the Ag-coated NR substrate and for the full NR/Ag/ZnO/a-Si:H/...
ITO layer stack. AFM topographies were measured over a 10 \( \mu m \times 10 \, \mu m \) area at five different positions on the sample. The RMS roughness of the top profiles that were generated by smoothing the measured Ag profiles is also shown in Table I. In the simulations, a unit cell size of 2 \( \mu m \times 2 \, \mu m \) was used in combination with periodic boundary conditions. No effects of periodicity were observed for this size (no significant difference in absorption was observed when the simulation volume was increased to 2.2 \( \mu m \times 2.2 \, \mu m \)). At the top and bottom of the simulation volume, perfectly matched layers were used as boundary conditions. A uniform mesh of 5 nm was used over the whole 3D simulation volume. Good agreement on the RMS roughness between the simulation generated and the AFM measured ITO/air interfaces is observed in Table I, with a small relative error of 3.8% for the structure with a 100 nm a-Si:H layer and 2.9% for that with a 200 nm a-Si:H layer.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows SEM images of the ZnO nanorods grown on the ZnO seed layers with thicknesses of 100 nm (a), 500 nm (b), and 1000 nm (c). For the thinner seed layer, a larger site density of smaller NRs is obtained. For the 500 nm and 1000 nm thick seed layers, well-developed crystalline NRs are obtained. We attribute the difference in NR site density to the difference in grain size at the top surface of the seed layers. A smaller grain size of the seed layer leads to a larger site density of nucleation sites and thus a higher site density of NRs with smaller diameter. The sputtered ZnO seed layer is a polycrystalline material with a preferential c-axis orientation as determined by X-ray diffraction (not shown), favoring the vertical growth of ZnO NRs. During the sputtering process, the first few layers of ZnO on glass contain tiny crystallites which act as seeds for subsequent crystal growth. Indeed, the grain size in the ZnO thin film, as calculated from the full width of half maximum (FWHM) of the (002) peak in X-ray diffraction spectra (not shown), shows a steady increase with film thickness. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) compare the morphology of NRs obtained at different precursor concentrations and growth time for the same seed layer thickness. When a combination of relatively high precursor concentration and long growth time is used (Figure 1(d)), a high site density of NRs with high aspect ratio is obtained, which is attributed to the larger availability of precursor molecules.

For applications in solar cells, the nanostructures in Figure 1(a) are too small for efficient light scattering while the structures in Figure 1(d) are too dense for subsequent layers to fit within the space between the NRs. NR arrays shown in Figure 1(c) provide a good trade-off between high aspect ratio features for light trapping and smooth enough features for a conformal coating by the device stack. This NR array is used for the devices studied in the rest of the paper.

Figure 2(a) shows a schematic diagram of the nanorod-based substrate. While in our experiments, glass is employed as the mechanical carrier, metal foil, or plastic could also be coated with a ZnO seed layer for the growth of NRs, which would facilitate the fabrication of flexible solar cells. Controlling the Ag thickness is essential to control the feature size of the NR core-shell structures, which is crucial to realize conformal growth of subsequent layers. Figure 2(b) depicts an AFM image of a substrate composed of an NR array with a 200 nm thick Ag layer and an 80 nm thick ZnO:Al layer. Figure 2(c) shows a SEM image of the NRs coated with a 200 nm thick Ag rear contact layer. Multiple-scale features are visible due to the random chemical growth process.
of the NRs. The dispersion in feature size results in a broad distribution of spatial frequencies in the scattering surface, which enables a broad spectral response.\(^2\) Aside from acting as a buffer layer for Ag interdiffusion into the a-Si:H, the ZnO:Al layer displaces the propagating modes in the a-Si:H absorber layer from the metal back contact, which reduces the absorption in the Ag layer. Finally, the rounded ZnO:Al features on top of the NRs can act as dielectric scatterers.\(^2\) A schematic of the full NR solar cell geometry is shown in Figure 3(a). The J-V measurements for the best cell of each type are plotted in Figure 3(b). Cell characteristics are listed in Table II. The average performance with standard deviation for the top-ten out of 42 cells with a 200 nm thick i-layer is presented in Table III, for both the NR and the flat cells. The NR cells show substantially higher efficiencies than the flat reference cells. As expected, the performance gain is in the photocurrent. The relative short-circuit photocurrent enhancements for the NR cells with respect to their flat counterparts are 46% and 30% for 100 nm and 200 nm active layer thicknesses, respectively. Strikingly, the 100 nm thick NR cell, with an efficiency of 7.1%, outperforms the twice as thick (200 nm) flat cell, which has an efficiency of 6.4%. The best efficiency of 8.4% is achieved for the 200 nm thick NR cell, corresponding to an absolute efficiency gain of 2% with respect to its flat reference. The photocurrent gain demonstrates that the NR geometry significantly improves light trapping in thin-film solar cells. The NR cells however show a small (~30 mV) reduction in \(V_{oc}\), with respect to the flat cells. We attribute this to the increased substrate roughness. In the present case, the steep valleys between individual NRs can lead to voids and cracks in the active layer due to a shadow effect during PECVD process.

In addition, in the NR cells, the charge carriers experience a larger junction collection area per projected area than in the planar geometry.\(^3\) The significantly enlarged interface/volume ratio in the NR system can also lead to an increased dark current. The deterioration in \(V_{oc}\) in solar cells built on elongated nanostructures has also been reported by several other groups.\(^4\) The reduction in \(V_{oc}\) in the NR cells obtained has significantly improved over our earlier work on NR cells which had less homogeneous coverage of the active layer.\(^5\) Nanostructured cells with comparable \(V_{oc}\) as planar or randomly textured counterparts have been fabricated by other researchers,\(^6,7\) indicating there is further room for improvement for the present nanorod geometry.

Figures 3(c) and 3(d) show SEM images of a cross section made by focused ion beam milling and a top view of the completed NR cell with a 200 nm thick a-Si:H i-layer. In Figure 3(c), several voids are visible between the NRs and the Ag layer. However, these defects do not propagate into the upper layers. This is in agreement with the hypothesis that the Ag and the ZnO layers smoothen the NR profile enough for growth of a high-quality absorber layer. Conformal deposition of the subsequent layers results in a corrugated top surface of the device. The corrugated surface exhibits geometrical resonances and helps to preferentially scatter incident light into the high-index absorber layer.\(^8\) Also, the graded effective refractive index can enhance the incoupling of the incident light.\(^9\)

Figure 4(a) shows the absorption spectra of the whole layer stack determined from reflection and transmission measurements in an integrating sphere. The NR cells show a broadband absorption enhancement compared to their flat counterparts. The EQE for light at normal incidence in the 350–800 nm spectral range is plotted in Figure 4(b). The flat cells (F100 and F200) poorly absorb the red and infrared part of the spectrum and therefore the EQE is low in this spectral range. The NR cells (NR100 and NR200) demonstrate a broadband photocurrent enhancement and do not show the Fabry-Perot oscillations that are present in the EQE of the flat cells. In the red part of the spectrum (at ~650 nm), there is a substantial photocurrent enhancement of up to 192% for the NR100 cell and 83% for the NR200 cell with respect to
the flat reference cells. A strongly enhanced EQE is also observed for short wavelengths up to 500 nm, corresponding to absorption in the top region of the devices. The photocurrent enhancement in this spectral range is attributed to an enhanced incoupling of light.\textsuperscript{26,29} This effect is due to geometrical (Mie) resonances of the corrugated ITO/a-Si:H surface; resonant scattering occurs preferentially into the high index absorber layer and leads to an antireflection effect. The gradual change in refractive index due to the ITO hemispherical features could also contribute to this antireflection effect. Interestingly, below 450 nm, the NR100 cell shows a higher EQE than the NR200 cell.

Figure 4(c) shows the FDTD-simulated absorption in the a-Si:H layer as a function of wavelength. Similar to the trends observed in the experimental EQE spectra, both NR cells show an enhanced red and blue responses compared to their flat counterparts. Unlike the EQE data, the simulated absorption curves for the two NR cells with different absorber layer thicknesses show a similar blue response, since the surface profiles are very similar (see Table I). Indeed, the measured absorption spectra in Figure 4(a) are very similar in the blue spectral range for the two NR cells. The difference in EQE in the blue spectral range is thus attributed to reduced carrier collection for the thicker cells.

Tables II and III show the characteristics of the a-Si:H solar cells on NR substrates and on flat substrates with intrinsic layer thicknesses of 100 nm and 200 nm. The average of J-V parameters of the top-ten cells with 200 nm thick i-layer on the flat and the NR substrates is also presented.

**TABLE II. Characteristics of a-Si:H solar cells on NR substrates and on flat substrates, with intrinsic layer thicknesses of 100 nm and 200 nm.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>J\textsubscript{sc}, mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}</th>
<th>V\textsubscript{oc}, mV</th>
<th>FF, %</th>
<th>Efficiency, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F100</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>888.6</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR100</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>857.4</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F200</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>886.6</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR200</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>853.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III. Average of J-V parameters of the top-ten cells with 200 nm thick i-layer on the flat and the NR substrates.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>J\textsubscript{sc}, mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}</th>
<th>V\textsubscript{oc}, mV</th>
<th>FF, %</th>
<th>Efficiency, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F200</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.22</td>
<td>887 ± 3</td>
<td>62 ± 1</td>
<td>6.28 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR200</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.35</td>
<td>856 ± 7</td>
<td>63 ± 1</td>
<td>8.17 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 3. Nanorod-based a-Si:H solar cells.** (a) A schematic representation of the design. (b) J-V results of the flat (F100 and F200) and the NR cells (NR100 and NR200) with 100 nm or 200 nm thick a-Si:H absorber layers. (c) Cross-sectional view and (d) tilted (52°) top view SEM images of the completed NR cell with a 200 nm thick i-layer (NR200).
The random nature of the pattern, with different feature sizes and hence resonances at different wavelengths, leads to a broad spectral response enhancement.

To investigate the light scattering contribution of the rear- and the front-side nanopatterns to the optical performance of the devices, solar cells with several configurations are characterized with 3D FDTD simulations. Figure 6(a) shows the studied five geometries: (1) flat structure (Flat): all interfaces are flat, (2) nanorod geometry (NR): all interfaces are textured, (3) patterned-back-flat-front (Flat front): textured Ag/AZO and AZO/a-Si:H interfaces, but flat a-Si:H/ITO and ITO/air interfaces, (4) patterned-front-flat-back (Flat back): textured a-Si:H/ITO and ITO/air interfaces, but flat Ag/AZO and AZO/a-Si:H interfaces, and (5) NR on flat Ag (Flat Ag): textured AZO/a-Si:H, a-Si:H/ITO and ITO/air interfaces, but flat Ag/AZO interface. Figures 6(b) and 6(c) show the simulated absorption spectra in the a-Si:H layer and in the Ag layer, respectively, for these different geometries. The roughness used at the a-Si:H/ITO and ITO/air interfaces is the same as in the simulations of the NR200 cell shown in Figure 5(e), while the a-Si:H volume is kept equivalent to that of a 350 nm flat cell. This thickness is chosen rather large to enable for a constant volume of the different layers in all the geometries.

Comparing the spectra for the flat and the NR cells, the same trends are observed as described above for the F100/F200 and NR100/NR200 cells: both blue- and red-responses are enhanced in the NR cells. The effect of light trapping in the infrared is relatively small in Figure 6 because of the large a-Si:H thickness (350 nm) that had to be chosen for a consistent comparison between the cell geometries. Flattening the front surface of the NR cell reduces the absorption in the a-Si:H in the blue, confirming the importance of surface roughness for light incoupling in the blue spectral region. Indeed, the reflectance is significantly enhanced in the blue as shown in Figure 6(d). Interestingly, for this geometry, also a reduced red response is observed (Figure 6(b)), indicating that light scattering from the surface topography leads to enhanced light trapping. Surprisingly, the device with rough surface and flat back (Flat back) shows the second highest absorption in a-Si:H, surpassing the counterpart with flat surface and rough back (Flat front). For the flat back geometry, the absorption in the a-Si:H in the red is significantly higher than for the standard nanorod geometry (NR). This is due to a strongly reduced parasitic absorption in the Ag, as shown in Figure 6(c). This demonstrates that a rough Ag interface is not essential for light trapping and structuring only the upper interfaces of the device can lead to very efficient light trapping.

The flat Ag geometry (Flat Ag), in which all the interfaces are textured except for the Ag/AZO interface, shows the overall highest absorption in the a-Si:H absorber. Compared to the standard NR geometry, the blue response is very similar but the red response is further enhanced in the flat Ag geometry, which is mainly due to the increased reflection at the flat Ag/AZO interface, while the textured AZO/a-Si:H interface significantly contributes to light trapping in the red region.

Figure 6(d) shows the simulated reflection for the five geometries. As expected, the geometries with flat surface (flat and flat front) show higher reflection in the blue than the geometries with textured surfaces. On the other hand, the reflection is significantly enhanced in the red spectral range for the geometries with flat back due to the suppressed parasitic absorption in the flat Ag layer. The device with flat Ag/AZO and textured upper interfaces (Flat Ag) shows a significantly lower reflection in the red range than that with flat Ag/AZO and AZO/a-Si:H interfaces (Flat back), which is consistent with the higher red absorption in the a-Si:H layer observed in Figure 6(b) for the flat Ag geometry.

To verify these findings from computer simulation, experimental solar cells with ZnO nanorods grown on a flat silver back reflector were made. For this design, first a 500 nm thick Ag layer was deposited on Corning glass by thermal evaporation, followed by a 1 μm thick ZnO:Al (0.5 wt. %) layer via magnetron sputtering as the seed layer. The growth parameters for ZnO nanorods on Ag/ZnO:Al stack are the

FIG. 4. Light trapping in the a-Si:H nanorod solar cells and the flat references with 100 nm and 200 nm thick i-layers. (a) Measured total absorption spectra, (b) measured external quantum efficiency spectra, and (c) simulated absorption spectra for the absorber layer.
same as those for the nanorods shown in Figure 1(c). After that, a 300 nm thick ZnO:Al (2 wt. %) was deposited on the ZnO nanorods to improve both the conductivity and the surface profile for a conformal coating of the upper layers. The solar cell stack (with an i-layer of 200 nm thickness) and the front contact are the same as those used for the nanorod cell shown in Figure 3(c), except for the use of a further optimized p-layer. Standard nanorod solar cells shown in Figure 3(c) were deposited simultaneously during the thin film silicon and the ITO depositions, and are used as reference.

Figure 7 shows the experimental J-V (a) and spectral response (b) data for the flat Ag concept and the standard
nanorod geometry. The absorption (1 − R, where R is the reflectance) of the devices is also plotted in Figure 7(b). The higher efficiency of 8.9% for the nanorod cell shown in Figure 7(a) with respect to 8.4% for the nanorod cell with an identical configuration shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b) is mainly attributed to the improved p-layer, as indicated by the improved $V_{oc}$ and fill factor. The cell with flat Ag/AZO interface demonstrates a higher current density (Figure 7(a)) and a slightly higher efficiency of 9.0% with respect to the standard NR geometry. However, the spectral response in the red and infrared is not obviously enhanced for the flat Ag geometry with respect to the standard NR case, which does not agree well with the simulated absorption shown in Figure 6(b). This could first be explained by the fact that a thinner i-layer with a nominal thickness of 200 nm is used in the realistic device, compared to that of 350 nm used in the simulation. In the optical simulation, we ignore carrier recombination and a relatively thick i-layer is used to enable for a constant volume of the a-Si:H layers in all the geometries. However, a-Si:H is a defect-rich material, in particular, grown on very rough substrate as is the case in this work. Therefore, for the realistic device, we keep the a-Si:H i-layer relatively thin, for a good balance between optical and electrical properties. In addition, to largely maintain the morphology at the rear side comparable to the reference NR geometry (200 nm Ag + 80 nm ZnO:Al coated on the NRs), and to keep a good conductivity of the rear contact, a thick ZnO:Al (2 wt.-%) layer of 300 nm was coated on the NRs as the rear contact for the flat Ag geometry. This highly doped thick ZnO:Al layer, however, brings optical loss in the red and infrared region which to some extent offsets the enhanced reflection from the flat Ag back reflector. Despite the thick ZnO:Al layer, the flat Ag geometry still exhibits a significantly higher reflection in the red range, as shown in the reflection spectra in Figure 7(b), consistent with the simulated reflection in Figure 6(d). This indicates there is a potential to achieve additional absorption in the active layer by increasing the a-Si:H layer thickness and/or using a lower band gap material for the active layer. Apparently, future work will focus on optimization on the thickness and band gap of the absorbing material, as well as on the TCO layer for the rear contact in the flat Ag concept. We expect that cells with a lower-bandgap absorber layer (such as a-SiGe:H (Ref. 37)) will benefit most from the developed flat Ag design, by enhanced harvesting in the red part of the spectrum.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we present an efficient nanostructured thin-film a-Si:H solar cell based on a ZnO nanorod scaffold. A ZnO nanorod array is obtained by a simple and inexpensive chemical bath deposition process at low temperature (80 °C) and the morphology is tuned by adjusting seed layer thickness, reactant concentration, and growth time. EQE measurements on the NR cells show a broad enhancement with respect to the flat cells over almost the entire 350–800 nm spectral range. Corrugation at the top of the NR devices leads to an enhanced blue-response and light trapping is observed in the red part of the spectrum. 3D FDTD simulations are in good agreement with the experimental EQE measurements and show complex field profiles inside the cell. Reflection is significantly reduced for the NR cells, but parasitic absorption in the patterned Ag layer is relatively high. Our simulations show that for devices with a flat Ag/ZnO:Al interface and textured a-Si:H/ITO and ITO/air interfaces, light trapping is further enhanced due to a reduced absorption in the Ag layer. The most promising design is that with a flat Ag/rough ZnO:Al back reflector scheme, which has low parasitic absorption losses. This advanced design is experimentally realized, demonstrating an enhanced photocurrent density and an initial efficiency up to 9.0% with a 200 nm thick a-Si:H absorber layer. The nanorod growth approach presented in this work does not involve complex manufacturing procedures or equipment requirements. This inexpensive design opens up a new platform for novel efficient cell design that can be made at low cost. The results show that in particular, cells with reduced absorber band gap (such as a-SiGe:H) will benefit greatly from this design.
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