

Supplementary Materials for

Photovoltaic materials: Present efficiencies and future challenges

Albert Polman,* Mark Knight, Erik C. Garnett, Bruno Ehrler, Wim C. Sinke

*Corresponding author. E-mail: a.polman@amolf.nl

Published 15 April 2016, *Science* **352**, aad4424 (2016)
DOI: 10.1126/science.aad4424

This PDF file includes:

Table S1

References

Material	tf/w	Lab/company	Eff.	J_{sc}	V_{oc}	FF	j	v	f	E_g	Ref.	
			(%)	(mAcm ⁻²)	(mV)		J_{sc}/J_{SQ}	V_{oc} / V_{SQ}	FF / FF _{SQ}	(eV)	Cell	E_g
GaAs	tf	Alta Devices	28.8	29.7	1.122	0.865	0.92	0.97	0.97	1.42	(16)	(51)
Si SHJ/IBC	w	Panasonic	25.6	41.8	0.740	0.827	0.96	0.84	0.95	1.12	(12)	(52)
Si IBC	w	SunPower	25.0	41.5	0.726	0.828	0.95	0.83	0.95	1.12	(10)	(52)
Si TOPCon	w	Fraunhofer	25.1	42.1	0.718	0.832	0.96	0.82	0.96	1.12	(7)	(52)
GalnP	w	NREL	20.8	16.0	1.455	0.893	0.82	0.96	0.98	1.81	(19)	(19)
InP	w	Spire	22.1	29.5	0.878	0.854	0.85	0.81	0.96	1.35	(18)	(53)
CuInGaSe/S	tf	ZSW Stuttgart	21.7	36.5	0.748	0.794	0.84	0.84	0.91	1.13	(20)	(20)
multicryst. Si	w	Trina Solar	21.3	39.8	0.668	0.803	0.91	0.76	0.92	1.12	(11, 54)	(52)
CdTe	tf	First Solar	21.0	30.3	0.876	0.794	0.96	0.75	0.89	1.43	(22)	(9)*
perovskite	tf	KRICT	20.1	22.5	1.100	0.732	0.88	0.83	0.81	1.60	(24)	(24)*
CuZnSnSe/S	tf	IBM	12.6	35.2	0.513	0.698	0.81	0.58	0.80	1.13	(26)	(26)
dye/TiO ₂	tf	Sharp	11.9	22.5	0.744	0.712	0.78	0.60	0.79	1.50	(27)	(55)*
nanocryst. Si	tf	AIST	11.4	29.1	0.535	0.731	0.67	0.61	0.84	1.12	(32)	(52)
organic	tf	Toshiba	11.0	19.4	0.793	0.714	0.82	0.57	0.79	1.66	(30)	(9)
amorphous Si	tf	AIST	10.2	16.4	0.896	0.698	0.78	0.61	0.76	1.76	(33)	(9)*
quantum dots	tf	U Toronto	9.9	21.6	0.635	0.719	0.66	0.56	0.80	1.40	(35)	(35)

Table S1 | Performance parameters for record solar cells. Cells are grouped by the fraction of the S-Q detailed-balance efficiency limit achieved: >75% (blue), 50-75% (green), and <50% (red). tf=thin-film, w=wafer-based solar cell. j , v , and f are the short-circuit current, open-circuit voltage and fill factors normalized to maximum values according to the S-Q model: , $j=J_{sc}/J_{SQ}$, $v=V_{oc}/V_{SQ}$, $f=FF/FF_{SQ}$ using the listed band gap energies. Record efficiencies were all taken from Refs. (9, 11) except for dye/TiO₂ (ref. (55)) and quantum dots (Ref. (35)). Details on each record cell are given in the references under Ref.-Cell; references for the band gaps E_g used in the S-Q analysis are given under Ref.- E_g . *Indicates that E_g was derived from external quantum efficiency data in that reference using the method in Ref. (56); the fitting process yields an uncertainty on the order of ± 10 meV. While new certified records have been reported for CdTe (21.5%, First Solar) (21), perovskite (21.0% EPFL (9)) and organic solar cells (11.5%, Hong Kong University), they are not analyzed here because detailed data are not yet available. Record cell efficiencies were measured for cells with an area $>1\text{ cm}^2$, with the exception of GalnP, CIGS, CZTS and perovskite (9). For updated values, see: <http://Impv.amolf.nl/SQ>.

References

51. J. L. Shay, Photoreflectance line shape at the fundamental edge in ultrapure GaAs. *Phys. Rev. B* **2**, 803–807 (1970). [doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.2.803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.803)
52. W. Bludau, A. Onton, W. Heinke, Temperature dependence of the band gap of silicon. *J. Appl. Phys.* **45**, 1846–1848 (1974). [doi:10.1063/1.1663501](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1663501)
53. L. Pavesi, F. Piazza, A. Rudra, J. F. Carlin, M. Illegems, Temperature dependence of the InP band gap from a photoluminescence study. *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 9052–9055 (1991). [Medline](#) [doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.44.9052](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.9052)
54. W. Deng, D. Chen, Z. Xiong, P. J. Verlinden, J. Dong, F. Ye, H. Li, H. Zhu, M. Zhong, Y. Yang, Y. Chen, Z. Feng, P. Altermatt, 20.8% perc solar cell on 156 mm × 156 mm p-type multicrystalline silicon substrate. *IEEE J. Photovolt.* **6**, 3–9 (2016). [doi:10.1109/JPHOTOV.2015.2489881](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2015.2489881)
55. M. A. Green, K. Emery, Y. Hishikawa, W. Warta, E. D. Dunlop, Solar cell efficiency tables (version 41). *Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl.* **21**, 1–11 (2013). [doi:10.1002/pip.2352](https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.2352)
56. H. Helmers, C. Karcher, A. W. Bett, Bandgap determination based on electrical quantum efficiency. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 032108 (2013). [doi:10.1063/1.4816079](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4816079)